

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

FRANK M. PECK, )  
vs. ) 3:09-cv-00381-LRH-VPC  
WASHOE COUNTY; *et al.*, )  
Defendants. )  
Plaintiff, )  
                )  
                )  
                )  
                )

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Ruling (#90<sup>1</sup>), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order (#83). Defendant filed a Response to Objection to Magistrate Judge's Rulings (#91).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the parties' pleadings, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#83) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#90) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2012.



---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to this court's docket number.